

On the New Dimer λ_d x -Expansion, Triangular and Hexagonal Lattices too

Paul Federbush
Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043
(pfed@umich.edu)

February 3, 2010

Abstract

In recent work the author presented a formal expansion for λ_d associated to the dimer problem on a d -dimensional rectangular lattice. Expressed in terms of d it yielded a presumed asymptotic expansion for λ_d in inverse powers of d . We also considered an expansion in powers of x , a formal variable ultimately set equal to 1. We believe this series has better asymptotic properties than the expansion in inverse powers of d . We discuss this, and apply the same method to the two-dimensional triangular and hexagonal lattices. Viewed as a test of the x -expansion the results on those two lattices are satisfactory, if not thoroughly convincing.

The formalism we will be working within is presented in [1], a much clearer exposition than in the earlier reports on which [1] is based. The main result there is the formal asymptotic expansion for λ_d (called by some the entropy per site)

$$\lambda_d \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln(2d) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{d} + \frac{5}{96} \frac{1}{d^2} + \frac{5}{64} \frac{1}{d^3} \cdots \quad (1)$$

Here d is the dimension of the rectangular lattice on which one is studying the dimer problem. However we prefer now to define d , instead, as one half the number of edges entering each vertex.

The key quantities determining the expansion are the $\bar{J}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, certain cluster expansion kernels. For the rectangular lattices the $\bar{J}_n$, $n = 1, \dots, 6$, are given in (31) - (36) of [1]. We now present the result of computer computations for some of these $\bar{J}_n$ for the triangular and hexagonal lattices. For the triangular lattice one has

$$\bar{J}_1 = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\bar{J}_2 = \frac{1}{24} \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{J}_3 = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\bar{J}_4 = -\frac{31}{1728} \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{J}_5 = -\frac{13}{6480}. \quad (6)$$

And for the hexagonal lattice

$$\bar{J}_1 = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\bar{J}_2 = \frac{1}{12} \quad (8)$$

$$\bar{J}_3 = \frac{1}{27} \quad (9)$$

$$\bar{J}_4 = -\frac{7}{216} \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{J}_5 = -\frac{23}{405} \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{J}_6 = \frac{23787}{8748}. \quad (12)$$

The formal expression for λ_d , in terms of the $\bar{J}_n$ is given as

$$\lambda_d = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2d) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N} \ln Z^* \quad (13)$$

where Z^* is detailed in (28) - (30) of [1]. If one expresses Z^* in terms of d , and expands in powers of $1/d$ one gets (1).

Alternatively we introduce a parameter x , replacing $\bar{J}_n$ by $x^{n-1} \bar{J}_n$. We then express $\frac{1}{N} \ln Z^*$ as a function of x and the $\bar{J}_n$ and expand in powers of x . This leads to a formal expression for λ_d as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = & \frac{1}{2} \ln(2d) - \frac{1}{2} + (\bar{J}_2) x + (\bar{J}_3 + 4\bar{J}_2^2) x^2 + \left(\frac{112}{3} \bar{J}_2^3 + 12\bar{J}_2\bar{J}_3 + \bar{J}_4 \right) x^3 \\ & + (\bar{J}_5 + 16\bar{J}_2\bar{J}_4 + 192\bar{J}_2^2\bar{J}_3 + 9\bar{J}_3^2 + 480\bar{J}_2^4) x^4 \\ & + \left(\frac{36608}{5} \bar{J}_2^5 + \bar{J}_6 + 20\bar{J}_2\bar{J}_5 + 24\bar{J}_3\bar{J}_4 + 288\bar{J}_4\bar{J}_2^2 + 324\bar{J}_3^2\bar{J}_2 + 3520\bar{J}_2^3\bar{J}_3 \right) x^5 \\ & + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with x set equal to 1 herein. We use λ instead of λ_d since we may apply the same expression for other lattices as the triangular and hexagonal. This is the x -expansion for λ .

If we substitute into equation (14) the values of the $\bar{J}_n$ given in (31) - (36) of [1] we obtain another expression for λ_d . Keeping only the terms in it with inverse powers of d less than or equal to 3 we recover (1). The expression obtained from (1) and that from (14) are each as far as one can go with the information in the $\bar{J}_n$ for $n \leq 6$.

We let B_r be obtained from (14) by keeping powers of x through x^r (and again then setting $x = 1$). Thus the expression on the right side of equation (14), before the dots, is B_5 , and

$$B_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2d) - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (15)$$

We assemble a table of B values for the rectangular lattices of dimensions 1, 2, and 3, columns 1, 2, and 3 below; and for the hexagonal and triangular lattices in columns 4 and 5 respectively.

	1	2	3	4	5
B_0	-.1534	.1931	.3959	.0493	.3959
B_1	-.0284	.2556	.4375	.1326	.4375
B_2	.1174	.2921	.4538	.1975	.4445
B_3	.2684	.2993	.4524	.2237	.4293
B_4	.4419	.2906	.4468	.2086	.4167
B_5	.7096	.2814	.4445	2.88	—

The values of λ for each of these are known as follows:

$$\lambda_1 = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\lambda_2 = .29156 \dots \quad (17)$$

$$.440075 \leq \lambda_3 \leq .457547 \quad (18)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{hex}} = .1691 \dots \quad (19)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{tri}} = .4286 \dots \quad (20)$$

Equation (16) is an easy deduction. References for (17) and (18) are in [1], for (19) see [2], and for (20) see [3]. It is too bad we do not have many more known lattices to test our ideas against. Would that λ_3 and λ_4 were known say.

Once we hoped $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = \lambda$, now we believe instead that the x -expansion behaves as an asymptotic series. The prototype asymptotic series is a sum of terms that get smaller and smaller to some point and then quickly grow. In favorable situations a “good” sum for the series is obtained by keeping only the terms till they start growing (we will actually keep the smallest term times $1/2$, and throw out the succeeding terms). Thus we take as value of the sum $\frac{1}{2}(B_k + B_{k+1})$ where k is such that $|B_{k+1} - B_k|$ is minimum in value. We take the size of the smallest term, $|B_{k+1} - B_k|$, as an estimate of the error of our approximation. Applying this procedure to obtain a value of λ from the x -expansion, we get in each of these five cases:

$$\lambda_1 \cong .03 \pm .13 \quad (21)$$

$$\lambda_2 \cong .296 \pm .007 \quad (22)$$

$$\lambda_3 \cong .453 \pm .001 \quad (23)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{hex}} \cong .216 \pm .015 \quad (24)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{tri}} \cong .441 \pm .007 \quad (25)$$

We believe, for λ_d , the results of this procedure will be increasingly strikingly accurate as $d \rightarrow \infty$, and even for $d = 2$ and 3 , as here, are impressive. We take (23) as a good prediction for λ_3 . For λ_{hex} and λ_{tri} the listed error estimates in (24) and (25) must be tripled and doubled respectively. We note eq. (1) gives for λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 the values .102, .278, .446. Both eq. (1) and the x -expansion procedure are pleasingly accurate at λ_3 .

The expansions for λ are for dimension going to infinity. We should be pleased they yield interesting results even in two dimensions. Seeking a better way to extract λ from the sequence of $\bar{J}_n$ may be like searching for the pot of gold at the end of the rainbow.

References

- [1] Paul Federbush, Phys. Lett. A **374** (2009) 131.
- [2] J F Nagle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34** (1975) 1150
G H Wannier, Phys. Rev. **79** (1950) 357
F Y Wu, Phys. Rev. **168** (1968) 539
P W Kasteleyn, J. Math. Phys. **4** (1963) 287
Veit Elser, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **17** (1984) 1509.
- [3] J F Nagle, Phys. Rev. **152** (1966) 190
D S Gaunt, Phys. Rev. **179** (1969) 174
S Samuel, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980) 2806
F Mila, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 2356
W F Wolff and J Zittartz, Z. Phys. B**49** (1982) 139
P Fendley, R Moessner, S L Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B **66** (2002) 214513.